# Investigating the Relationship between Motor Vehicle Speed and Active School Transportation at Elementary Schools in Calgary and Toronto 

February 3, 2020
CHASE Monthly Webinar Series
Presenter: Rebecca Ling, MPH
Coauthors: Linda Rothman, Brent Hagel, Colin Macarthur, Meghan Winters, Tony Churchill, Tate HubkaRao, Liraz Fridman

Alison Macpherson, Marie- Soleil Cloutier, Andrew Howard

## Background

- Majority of children and youth do not meet physical activity recommendations (Katzmaryk, 2000)
- Promote active school transportation as a means of physical activity
- Pedestrian-related injuries account for 12\% of all injury-related deaths in children under 14 years old (Canadian Council of Motor Transport Administrators, 2013)



## Background



Speed and Injury Relationship

- $10 \%$ risk of fatality for pedestrian struck by car travelling at $30 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ or below
- At $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, risk increases exponentially to $80 \%$


## Literature Review

- In study by Oluyomi (2014), parents who reported that traffic safety was not a problem were more likely to report that child walks to school compared to parents who reported that traffic safety was always a problem (OR=2.86, 95\% CI: 1.64, 4.99)
- Parents' perception of traffic safety at the school is associated with mode of transportation (Oluyomi, 2014; Rothman, 2018; Wilson, 2018)
- Self-reported measures of traffic safety and/or school travel


## Child Active-Transportation Safety and the Environment (CHASE) Study

- Objective - to examine the built environment and child and adolescent active transportation to schools within and across multiple large Canadian urban centres
- 552 schools observed in 7 cities across Canada, including Vancouver, Surrey, Calgary, Peel, Toronto, Montreal and Laval



## Objective

## To investigate the relationship between vehicle speeds in front of schools and active school transportation

- To examine this relationship using 3 different speed definitions

1. Vehicles travelling over $30 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$
2. Vehicles travelling over the posted speed limit
3. $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed

- To examine the influence of the built environment on vehicle speeds and active school transportation


## School Sample

## Calgary (n=46)

## Toronto (n=42)

- 46 of 125 CHASE schools
- Randomly selected
- Grades JK-8
- 26 of 75 CHASE schools
- 16 schools from another study
- Grades JK-8

Vancouver, Surrey, Peel, Montreal and Laval schools were not included because vehicle speed data were not available

## Maps of Schools

Calgary: 46 schools
Toronto: 42 schools


Data
School Travel Counts
-Conducted in May and June, 2018 by trained university student observers

- 25 mins during morning drop-off period - 20 mins before and 5 mins after the bell



## Data <br> Vehicle Speeds

## Calgary

Individual vehicle data by Grouped data by 15 mins time and speed

1 to 9 days

## Toronto

 intervals and speed bins(1)
24 hours, for 3 consecutive days

Weekends, holidays or data collected for $<1$ day

None

## Data <br> Vehicle Speed Times

Vehicle speeds assessed during School Activity Times operationally defined from 7:30 AM to 6 PM

Calgary School Zones

- Operating speed limit changes to $30 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ between 7:30 AM and 9:00 PM

Toronto School
Zones

- Speed limit varies between $30 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ and $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ depending on the type of roadway

Data

## School Environment

- Using Google Street View, within 200m of the main entrance, we captured:
- Speed limits, road type and presence of sidewalks, cycling infrastructure, and pedestrian crossovers
-From school observations data, the presence of school crossing guards was collected.


## Analysis

- Outcome: Proportion of students using active school transportation (AST)
- Exposure: Vehicle speed metrics, defined as

1. Proportion of vehicles over $30 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ (\%)
2. Proportion of vehicles over speed limit (\%)
3. 85 th percentile speeds $(\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{h})$

## Analysis

-School Environment Covariates:
-Cycling infrastructure (present vs absent)

- Pedestrian crossovers (present vs absent)
- Crossing guard (present vs absent)
-Road classification (local vs arterial)
-Beta regression models, stratified by city
- Reported as odds ratios with 95\% confidence intervals


## School Environment Characteristics

Table 1: School Environment - Roadway Characteristics

| CHARACTERISTICS | Calgary, N=46 <br> $\mathbf{n}(\%)$ | Toronto, N=42 <br> $\mathbf{n}(\%)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Road type: |  |  |
| Local/collector | $44(96 \%)$ | $32(76 \%)$ |
| Minor/major arterial | $2(4 \%)$ | $10(24 \%)$ |
| Speed limit: |  |  |
| $30 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $46(100 \% *)$ | $11(26 \%)$ |
| $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $21(50 \%)$ |
| $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $7(17 \%)$ |
| $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $3(7 \%)$ |

* All schools in Calgary set 30 km/h zones during school activity times


## School Environment Characteristics

Table 2: School Environment - Walkability and Safety Characteristics

| CHARACTERISTICS | Calgary, N=46 <br> $\mathbf{n}(\%)$ | Toronto, N=42 <br> $\mathbf{n}(\%)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Sidewalk (\%) | $3(6 \%)$ | $2(5 \%)$ |
| One side | $43(94 \%)$ | $40(95 \%)$ |
| Both sides | $4(9 \%)$ | $2(5 \%)$ |
| Presence of cycling infrastructure (\%) | $27(59 \%)$ | $28(67 \%)$ |
| Presence of crossing guards (\%) | $10(22 \%)$ | $1(2 \%)$ |
| Child only | $4(9 \%)$ |  |
| Adult only |  |  |
| Both child and adult | $13(28 \%)$ | $34(57 \%)$ |
| Presence of pedestrian crossovers (\%) | $40(87 \%)$ | $31(74 \%)$ |

## Results <br> Active School Transportation



Calgary Average = 44\%

## Results <br> 85 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Speeds

Calgary - 85th Percentile Speeds by
School


Speed limits marked by dotted lines
Calgary
Average $85^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Speed $=35 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$

Toronto - 85th Percentile Speeds by School


Toronto
Average $85^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Speed $=47_{8} \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$

## Results - AST and Vehicle Speeds

Calgary Schools - Relationship
between AST and $85^{\text {th }}$ Percentile
Speed


Toronto Schools - Relationship between AST and $85^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Speed


## Calgary <br> AST and Vehicle Speed Analysis

## Table 3: Results from AST Models for Calgary

Outcome: Proportion of AST \begin{tabular}{l}
Unadjusted OR <br>
$(95 \% \mathrm{CI})$

 

Adjusted OR <br>
$(95 \% \mathrm{CI})$
\end{tabular}

Speed Definitions

| Speeding over $30 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | 0.97 | $0.98^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| per $10 \%$ of vehicles | $(0.88,1.08)$ | $(0.88,1.09)$ |
| Speeding over speed limit | 0.97 | $0.97^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| per $10 \%$ of vehicles | $(0.88,1.08)$ | $(0.87,1.08)$ |
| $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed | 1.01 | $1.00^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| per $1 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $(0.94,1.08)$ | $(0.94,1.07)$ |

OR: Odds Ratio, CI: Confidence Intervals
${ }^{\text {a Adjusted for cycling infrastructure, crossing guard, pedestrian crossover, road type }}$
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Adjusted for cycling infrastructure, crossing guard and pedestrian crossover

## Toronto <br> AST and Vehicle Speed Analysis

Table 4: Results from AST Models for Toronto

| Outcome: Proportion of AST | Unadjusted OR <br> $(95 \% \mathrm{CI})$ | Adjusted OR <br> $(95 \% \mathrm{CI})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Definitions |  |  |
| Speeding over $30 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | 0.90 | $0.90^{\text {a }}$ |
| per $10 \%$ of vehicles | $(0.80,1.01)$ | $(0.77,1.04)$ |
| Speeding over speed limit | $\mathbf{0 . 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 9 0 ^ { \text { a } }}$ |
| per $10 \%$ of vehicles | $\mathbf{( 0 . 8 1 , 0 . 9 9 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 0 . 8 2 , 0 . 9 9 )}$ |
| $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed | $\mathbf{0 . 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 9 7 ^ { \text { b } }}$ |
| per $1 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $\mathbf{( 0 . 9 5 , \mathbf { 1 . 0 0 ) }}$ | $\mathbf{( 0 . 9 5 , \mathbf { 0 . 9 9 ) }}$ |

OR: Odds Ratio, CI: Confidence Intervals
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Adjusted for cycling infrastructure, crossing guard, pedestrian crossover, road type
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Adjusted for cycling infrastructure, crossing guard and pedestrian crossover

## AST and Vehicle Speed Adjusted Analysis

- In Toronto schools, the odds of children using AST significantly decrease by $3 \%$ for every 1 km/h increase in 85th percentile speeds (adjusted OR=0.97, 95\% CI: 0.95, 0.99)
- In Calgary schools, there is no significant relationship observed between AST and 85th percentile speeds (adjusted OR=1.00, 95\% CI: 0.94, 1.07)


## School Environment Effects

Table 4: Adjusted AST Models with School Environment Covariates

|  | Calgary Schools | Toronto Schools |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Outcome: Proportion of AST | Adjusted OR (95\% CI) | Adjusted OR (95\% CI) |
| Exposure: 85 <br> $1 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ percentile speed per | $1.00(0.94,1.07)$ | $\mathbf{0 . 9 7}(\mathbf{0 . 9 5 , 0 . 9 9 )}$ |
| School Environment Variables |  |  |
| Pedestrian crossover vs none (ref) | $0.89(0.54,1.46)$ | $1.26(0.76,2.10)$ |
| Cycling infrastructure vs none (ref) | $1.29(0.73,2.25)$ | $2.97(0.97,9.03)$ |
| Crossing guard vs none (ref) | $0.88(0.62,1.23)$ | $1.43(0.90,2.26)$ |
| Arterial roads vs local roads (ref) ${ }^{*}$ | --- | --- |

*Road type was highly correlated with the $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed and was removed from the model

## Discussion

-"High" vehicle speeds prevalent in front of schools

- $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speeds: $35 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ in Calgary and $47 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ in Toronto
- Percentage of drivers over speed limits: 45\% in Calgary and $42 \%$ in Toronto
- In Toronto, $72 \%$ of vehicles going over 30 $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{h}$, where speed limits range from 30 to $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$


## Discussion

-Differences in school travel between cities
-44\% AST in Calgary vs. 64\% AST in Toronto

- Observed significant relationship between AST and vehicle speeds in Toronto
- Consistent with previous studies that used self-reported measure for traffic safety (oluyomi, 2014; Rothman, 2018; Wilson, 2018)


## Limitations

- Misclassification bias of AST, speeds and school environment covariates
- School sample size
- Selection bias in school criteria
-Confounding


## Conclusion

- Almost 50\% of vehicles do not comply with speed limits in Calgary and Toronto school zones

- Need for targeted interventions at schools to reduce speeds
- Lower speed limits, speed cameras, police enforcement, physical traffic calming measures
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